

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The drawings were objected to under 37 C.F.R. §1.83(b) as incomplete. Claims 1 to 7, 12 and 13 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement.

Reconsideration of the application is respectfully requested.

Substance of the Interview Statement

William Gehris (Reg. No 38,156) had a personal interview with Examiners Eugene Kim and Paul Durand on February 8, 2005.

A new drawing for Figure 3 was presented similar to the attached new Figure 3, and it was agreed that Figures 5 and 6 would be canceled as duplicative of new Fig. 3. Functioning of the gearing was discussed. Applicant agreed to cancel claim 4 as being directed toward a non-elected invention as well as canceling claim 13.

Undersigned thanks Examiner for the interview.

Drawings

The attached four (4) replacement sheets of drawings include changes to Figure 3. Figure 3 is being replaced by a new Figure 3. Support is found in the specification, in Fig. 1 and in the old Figure 3.

It was also noted that a draftsperson error occurred in submission of previous formal Figure 1, in that the fold cylinder 110 should be rotated to match tuckers 157 with jaws 152 (See specification at page [0032] and original Figure 1). A new Fig. 1 is submitted herewith.

Figures 5 and 6 have been canceled. Withdrawal of the objection under 37 C.F.R. §1.83(b) is respectfully requested.

35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph Rejections

Claims 1 to 7, 12 and 13 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement.

In view of the new Figure 3, withdrawal of the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112 is

Appl. No. 09/829,620
Amdt. dated February 23, 2005
Reply to Office Action of August 24, 2004

respectfully requested.


Withdrawal of the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, to claims 1 to 7, 12 and 13 is respectfully requested.

Appl. No. 09/829,620
Amdt. dated February 23, 2005
Reply to Office Action of August 24, 2004

CONCLUSION

The present application is respectfully submitted as being in condition for allowance and applicants respectfully request such action.

Respectfully submitted,
DAVIDSON, DAVIDSON & KAPPEL, LLC

By: 
William C. Gehris
Reg. No. 38,156

Davidson, Davidson & Kappel, LLC
485 Seventh Avenue
New York, New York 10018
(212) 736-1940

Amendments to the Drawings

Submitted herewith are four (4) replacement sheet drawings. Figure 1 has been amended. A new Figure 3 is being submitted herewith to replace the previously submitted Figure 3 and to show the invention in a more understandable manner. Figures 5 and 6 have been canceled. Withdrawal of the objections under 37 C.F.R. §1.83(b) is respectfully requested.

Attached: Four (4) Replacement Sheet Drawings